

Philip R. Wimbish P. O. Box 2420 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74102 500 West 7th Street McClelland, Lucy Tulsa, Okla. 74119 McClelland Beeson 582-5141 Catherine Chapman Matilda Snee and Jack L. Hart)

Wm. C. Porter (Timothy Herriott) 307 Washington Trust Bldg. Washington, D. C. 15301 4/3-225-0250

KRICH

Jan L. HE POBOL 5615

	CHECK		· FILING FEES PAID	ONE CONTROL OF THE CO	STATISTICAL CARDS		
ž	HERE	DATE	RECEIPT NUMBER	C.D. NUMBER	CARD	DATE MAILED	
3	CASE WAS				JS-5	10-1-76	
F	ORMA				JS-6	9-1-87	
8	AUPERIS				9		

ALLE	DARI	OH -

	×	ALLEN E. BARROW	76-C-494-B
19975	NR.	PROCEEDINGS B	
9-29	1.	Complaint filed, summons issued.s	· .
9-29	2.	Order, filed, that defts. herein and all persons act	ing by or on be-
		half of them are restrained from instituting or proseceeding in any State or Fed. Ct. adversely affecting	ecuting any pro- the royalty
		proceeds or the determination or payment of proceeds involved in this interpleader action and which are c	
		suit styled Joseph M. Richards, et. al. v. Texaco In	
-		Dist. Ct. of Tulsa County, St. of Okla, and any other	r cause of action
		instituted by any of the said defendants; further th	
•		show cause before this Ct. at hearing to be held at	
		11-9-76 as to why the injunction should be set aside this order is to remain in force against the said de	
		straining said defts. and all persons acting by and	
		including their attys. from instituting or prosecuti	ng any proceeding
		in any St. or Fed. Ct adversely affecting the deposi	
		ceeds, or the future determination of payment of suc	
		ceeds under the oil and gas lease held by Texaco; an service of this order as to all named defendants wil	
		U. S. Marshals and further that a copy of the summon	
		and this order be served upon Joe S. Cline, Atty. at	Law, 3166 E.
		40th St., Tulsa, Ok., who represents certain of the	defendants in the
		above described cause of action, and further that de any answering motions or affidavits to this order on	
		18th day of October, 1976.s (AEB-J)	or perore one
10-15	3.	Deft's motion to remand suit to state court and brie	ef, filed.s
10-18	MO	It is ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff file	-
10 10		brief within ten (10) days to Defts. Joseph Richard Carter, Jr., and James Harvey McClelland's mot. to State Court.s (AEB-J) cps. mld.	s, Harlan A.
10-26	4.	Pltf's response to motion to remand suit to State Ct Joseph M. Richards, Harlan A. Carter, Jr. and James filed.s	
10-26	MO	Case referred to Mag. Morris L. Bradford for F & R of motion to remand. (AEB-J)s	on defendants'
10-26	5.	Defts. Miriam T. McClelland, Lucy McClelland Beeson,	Catherine
		Chapman, Matilda Snee and Jack L. Hart's application	n for an ext.
		of 15 days in which to ans. w/order as part of appli	cation, filed,
		granting ext. to 11-10-76.s (JCS-Clk) cps. mld.	
11-1	6.	Answer and Affidavit of deft. Timothy Herriott, file	
11-1	7.	Defts./Miriam T. McClelland, Lucy MCClelland Beeson	, Catherine
		Chapman and Matilda Snee's motion to modify restrain	ning order, filed
		S Jack L. Hart	Oothordino
11-1	8.	Defts./Miriam T. Mcclelland, Lucy Mcclelland Beeson Chapman and Matilda Snee's brief in support of motion	n to modify
		restraining order, filed.s	011 00 1110 01 1 9
11-2	MO	It is ordered by the Court that the pltf. file a re-	sponsive brief
		w/infive (5) days to defts. Miriam T. McClelland, Beeson, Catherine Chapman and Matilda Snee's motion	Lucy McClelland to modify
		restraining order.s (AEB-J) cps. mld.	
11-3	9.	Defts Mariam McClelland, Lucy McClelland Beeson, Ca	
		Matilda Snee, and Jack L. Hart REQUEST for the adm	
	1	and genuineness of documents of deft. Joseph M. Ri	cnards, filed.im
11-3	10.	Defts. McClelland, Beeson, Chapman, Snee, and Hart	Interroos to

Sheet 2 Page 3 HIDGE BARROW

DEFENDANT

B

PLAINTIFF

EYACO INC

DOCKET NO. __76-С-**4**94-**В**

DC-111A (Rev. 1/75)

TEXACO, INC. Joseph M. Richards, et al PAGE ____ OF ___ PAGES DATE **PROCEEDINGS** NR. 1976 Defts/McClelland, Beeson, Chapman, Snee & Hart Interrogs to deft. 11-3 Harlan A. Carter, Jr., filed. 1m 11-3 Defts. Mariam T. McClelland, Lucy McClelland Beeson, Catherine Chapman, Matilda Snee and Jack L. Hart interrogs. to deft James Harvey McClelland, filed. 1m Motion of defts. Mariam T. McClelland, Lucy McClelland Beeson, 11-3 Catherine Chapman, Matilda Snee, and Jack L. Hart for production of documents of James Harvey McClelland and his attorneys of record, filed. 1m 11-3 Motion of defts. Mariam T. McClelland, Lucy McClelland Beeson, Catherine Chapman, Matilda Snee, and Jack L. Hart for production of documents of deft. Harlan A. Carter, Jr., and his attorneys of record, filed. 1m 11-3 15. Motion of defts. Mariam T. McClelland, Lucy McClelland Beeson, Catherine Chapman, Matilda Snee, and Jack L. Hart for production of documents of Joseph M. Richards and his attorneys of record, filed. In 11-3 Motion of defts. Mariam T. McClelland, Lucy McClelland Beeson, Catherine Chapman, Matilda Snee, and Jack L. Hart for production of documents of pltf. Texaco, Inc., and its attorneys of record, filed. In Request of defts. Mariam T. McClelland, Lucy McClelland Beeson, 11-3 17. Catherine Chapman, Matilda Snee, and Jack L. Hart to Harlan A. Carter, Jr. and his attorneys of record for the admission of facts and genuineness of documents, filed. 1m 11-3 18. Request of defts. Mariam T. McClalland, Lucy McClelland Beeson, Catherine Chapman, Matilda Snee, and Jack L. Hart to James Harvey McClelland and his attorneys of record for the admission of facts and genuineness of documents, filed. 1m 11-5 Brief of pltf. Texaco Inc. in response to motion of defts. Jack L. Hart, Miriam T. McClelland, Lucy McClelland Beeson, Catharine Chapman and Matilda Snee to modify restraining order, filed.s 11 - 9Case called for hearing that defts' show cause why injunction should be set aside. Parties represented. Mr. Breckenridge moves for admission of J. L. Hart for purpose of this case; oath administered. Statements made by Imel; Breckenridge & E. Carr who is appearing for Mr. Cline. Ct. finds that restraining will be kept in effect, but is modified whereby permitting Texas Ct. to proceed w/action there. Deft's motion to remand is overruled. Mr. Imel to prepare order in 5 days & defts' will have 5 days to agree to from of order & Order to be presented to Court in 10 days. (AEB-J)b 11-10 20 Pltf's response to mot. for production of documents, filed.k 11-15 Order, filed, overruling defts' mot. to remand. Further, that defts' mot. to modify restraining order is sustained & said order remains in full force except that action filed in Winkler County, TX, Chapman, et al v. Richards, et al is allowed to continue to determine rights to royalty interest. Further, that USDC for NDO retains

jurisdiction pending resolution of TX action, and further order of

the Court. (AEB-J)k cps mld

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF Texaco Inc Joseph M. Richards

DOCKET NO. 76 - C - 494 - 1

PAGE ____ OF ____ PAGES

		FAGEUFPAGES
DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS
1976		
11-17 11-24	22.	ANSWER of defs., Miriam McClelland, Lucy M. Beeson, Catherine Chapman, Matilda Snee & Jack L. Hart, filed. rm Summons fet. & filed: served Joe S. Cline, Tulsa, OK. 10-1-76; James H. McClelland, Vallejo, Ca., 10-4-76; Jack L. Hart, Midland, Tx. & Mary Ford, Big Rock Ill. by serving herhusband, 10-5-76; Harlan A. Carter, Jr., Indianapolis, Ind., and Joseph M. Richard, Tulsa, Ok. 10-6-76; Catherine Chapman, Oceanside Ca, 10-11-76; Lucy McClelland
1978	-	Beeson, St. Davids, Pa., 10-14-76; Timothy Herriott, Washington, Pa. 10-21-76; Miriam T. McClelland, Pittsburgh, Pa. 10-27-76; Matilda Snee, Sun City, Ariz. 10-28-76; unserved as to Robert Herriott. (USM \$187.00)g
1-13	MO	Case set for report to the Court on status of case on 2-1-78 at 10:00 a.m. (AEB-J)k cps by cert ml
1-23	MO:	***
4-11	MARKE 10000	Letter from John Imel, pltf. counsel, to Judge Barrow, filed as status report with recommendation that abeyance be continued for an
5-1		additional 30 to 60 days to conclude and resolve the Texas litigation Letter from John Imel, filed as status report recommending abeyance be continued until title problems are resolved in Texas litigation. b
3-16	МО	Case transferred to Judge H. Dale Cook. (JCS-Clk)k
11-9	MO:	CASE TRANSFERRED TO JUDGE JAMES O. ELLISON. (JCS-CLK)jc
1980 2-12-80	MO:	CASE SET FOR STATUS REPORT on 3-7-80 at 1:30 p.m. (JOE-J) ra ntc mld
3-7	Min.	HRG. STATUS REPORT. Status report fld. Parties to file further report in 6 mos.(JOE-J)b
3-7	23	REPORT ON STATUS & progress of Texas litigation. b
11-21	МО	CASE SET for disposition on 12-1-80 at 1:30 p.m. for failure of parties to file status report (due 9-7-80). (JOE-J)k ntc mld
11-25	24	REPORT from deft on status & progress of TX litigation.am
11-26	МО	STATUS report having been filed is stricken from disposition dkt on 12/1/80 at 1:30.am ntc/phone
$\frac{1981}{6-10}$	25	REPORT of deft on status & progress of Tex. litigation. jc
1982		
1-28	26	REPORT on status and progress of Texas Litigation.cs
		12127 Call of the Contraction and

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	
m.		DOCKET NO.
Texaco	Joseph M. Richards, et al	PAGEOFPAGES

Texaco			Joseph M. R	from Defts. b from defts.b from defts.cs dm t. dm (in letter form) t case set for hrg. 2-29-84.cs L. Hart. (in letter form) dm rm (referring to ltrs of 7-9-84 & 5-17-84) rm). hm letter form) t r form) tj n letter form) t nn letter form) t	
1982E	NR.		PRO	CEEDINGS	
11-3	мо: 27	ORDERED that all page and a every 60 days the			1-15-82
11-15	26	STATUS REPORT. fld	by defts.nd		
1983 1-19	28	STATUS REPORT in 1	tr form from	Defts. b	
3-18	2 9	STATUS REPORT in 1	tr from from	defts.b	
5-13	30	Status Report in 1	tr. form from	n defts.cs	
7-19	31	STATUS REPORT of d	efts. dm		
9-16	32	STATUS REPORT of d	efts. dm		
1984					
1-10	33	STATUS REPORT of d	efts. cs		
1-13	34	STATUS REPORT of de	eft, Hart. dm	(in letter form)	
2-17	- 4	Letter rec. re: sta	atus that cas	e set for hrg. 2-2	9-84.cs
5-8	35	STATUS REPORT of de	ft. tj		
5-22	36	STATUS REPORT of de	ft, Jack L. H	lart. (in letter for	m) dm
9-13	37	STATUS REPORT in le	tter form (re	eferring to ltrs of	7-9-84 & 5-17-84)
11-15	38	STATUS REPORT (in le	etter form).	hm	
1985 1-14	39	STATUS REPORT of p		er form) t	
3-14	40.	STATUS REPORT. (i	n letter for	m) tj	
5-17	41	STATUS REPORT of de	efts. (in let	ter form) t	
7-16	42	STATUS REPORT of d	efts. (in let	ter form) tj	
9-19	VQI i	STATUS REPORT of de	efts. (in let	ter form) t	
11-15	44	STATUS REPORT of de	efts. (in let	ter form) t	
$\frac{1986}{1-24}$	45	STATUS report of p	ltf re: Hrng	to be set in Winkle	er Co.(in letter
3-25	46	STATUS REPORT of d	left. (in let	ter form) t	

2/10/87 Left mosenge w/ Brichmandyo see

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF TEXACO			DEFENDANT			****
			RICHARDS, ET	AL	DOCKET NO.	
					PAGEOFP	AGE
DATE	NR.		PROCE	EDINGS		
5-28-86) 	LTR recd from Brecki in Tx case in June		Judgment should be	come final	
1987 5-18	47	STATUS report of d	eft Hart.(in l	etter form)(case o	concluded) t	
7-21	48	APPLICATION of deft Ja by clerk & interplea	ck Hart for order d by pltf.(O to J	of distribution of t IC) t 20 % 7/24	he proceeds held	1
7-24	49	ORDER that clerk is di those persons in th Ct, Tx. (JOE-J) c/π	e proportions des	oute funds in the amou signated in the final		
7-24	50	ORDER ENTERED that case funds held .(TRB fo			directed to dis	sbur
			·			
				•		
			*			
						•
	:					
ALTERNATION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN						
	,					
	6/18	butter dye proposing paper	ny distribuy ku-da			
	2121	Bucker it go says Hart	leastern for the	and a grant water was have	K. G. Bernelo English	1264-